

available at www.sciencedirect.com







REVIEW ARTICLE

Misoprostol to treat missed abortion in the first trimester

K. Gemzell-Danielsson^{a,*}, P.C. Ho^b, R. Gómez Ponce de León^c, A. Weeks^d, B. Winikoff^e

Recommended Dosages

Vaginal misoprostol 800 μg OR sublingual misoprostol 600 μg

KEYWORDS

Anembryonic pregnancy Missed abortion Misoprostol

Abstract

Missed abortion in the first trimester is characterized by the arrest of embryonic or fetal development. The cervix is closed and there is no or only slight bleeding. Ultrasound examination shows an empty gestational sac or an embryo/fetus without cardiac activity. Based on a review of the published literature a single dose of 800 μg vaginal misoprostol may be offered as an effective, safe, and acceptable alternative to the traditional surgical treatment for this indication. Alternatively, 600 μg misoprostol can be administered sublingually. After administration of misoprostol, hospitalization is not necessary and the time to expulsion varies considerably. Bleeding may last for more than 14 days with additional days of light bleeding or spotting. The woman should be advised to contact a provider in case of heavy bleeding or signs of infection. A follow-up is recommended after 1 to 2 weeks. © 2007 International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics. Published by Elsevier Ireland Ltd. All rights reserved.

* Corresponding author. Karolinska University Hospital, Department of Woman and Child Health, Division for Obstetrics and Gynaecology, P.O. Box 140, S-171 76 Stockholm, Sweden. Tel.: +46 8 517 721 28; fax: +46 8 517 743 14.

E-mail address: Kristina.gemzell@kbh.ki.se (K. Gemzell-Danielsson).

1. Introduction

In some cases of first trimester pregnancy failure, arrest of embryonic or fetal development occurs some time before the expulsion (miscarriage). When this occurs, the cervix is closed and there is no or only slight bleeding. Ultrasound examination shows an empty gestational sac or an embryo/ fetus without cardiac activity.

In the following article we will use the term missed abortion, but many other terms have been used to describe this event, including: silent or missed miscarriage, "anembryonic

0020-7292/\$ - see front matter © 2007 International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics. Published by Elsevier Ireland Ltd. All rights reserved.

^a Department of Woman and Child Health, Division of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Karolinska Institutet/Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden

^b Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong Special Administrative Region

^c Ipas and School of Public Health, UNC at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, USA

^d School of Reproductive and Developmental Medicine, University of Liverpool, Women's Hospital, Liverpool, UK

^e Gynuity Health Projects, New York, NY, USA

pregnancy", "blighted ovum", "early fetal demise", "non-viable pregnancy" and "embryonic/fetal death" (Table 1).

The usual treatment is suction curettage irrespective of the length of amenorrhea. However an increasing number of studies have shown that medical treatment may be effective, safe, and acceptable, as may be waiting for spontaneous expulsion (expectant management) (Table 1).

2. Rationale for regimen

2.1. Dosage and route

A Cochrane review including 19 randomized controlled trials (RCTs) on pregnancies less than 14 weeks concluded that vaginal misoprostol shortens the time to expulsion when compared with placebo [1]. In two RCTs, misoprostol reduced the need for uterine curettage (104 women, RR 0.40, 95% CI 0.26 to 0.60) with no significant increase in side effects like nausea or diarrhea [2,3] (Table 1). In these trials vaginal misoprostol was administered in doses of 400 μg , 600 μg , or 800 μg . Lower-dose regimens of vaginal misoprostol were tested in 2 RCTs and have shown to be less effective in inducing expulsion, but with a similar incidence of nausea [4,5].

A study compared 800 μg oral with the same dose of vaginal misoprostol with no difference in efficacy, but the mean time to expulsion was significantly longer in the oral group [6]. Sublingual misoprostol had equivalent efficacy compared with vaginal misoprostol in inducing complete miscarriage, but was associated with more frequent diarrhea [7].

2.2. Predictors of success

The success of the treatment seems to be higher for incomplete miscarriage and lower for an anembryonic pregnancy (empty gestation sac) compared to a pregnancy with an early embryonic death [8,9].

The diagnosis "spontaneous abortion" is often insufficiently defined and important details like cervical examination, bleeding or ultrasound findings are not reported. Thus many studies and reports on success rates are difficult to

interpret or to compare. Efficacy rates vary widely from 13% to 93%, influenced by many factors such as diagnosis, sac size, whether follow-up is clinical or involves ultrasound, and maybe also the number of doses.

Other researchers have explored the efficacy of multiple misoprostol doses and timing of follow-up. Some investigators have found that vaginal or sublingual misoprostol used every 3 h for up to three doses is an effective treatment with acceptable side effects. Efficacy has been shown to be similar using a longer interval between doses or continued dosing for a week following the initial three doses [7,10,11].

The effectiveness of treatment with misoprostol also depends on the time interval to follow-up [12]. To avoid unnecessary intervention the assessment of success should be delayed for at least 7 to 10 days.

There seems no clear advantage to administering a 'wet' preparation of vaginal misoprostol, or adding methotrexate, or of using laminaria tents [13,14]. Two RCTs of pretreatment with mifepristone treatment generated conflicting results [15,16], and further studies are needed to evaluate whether the use of mifepristone increases efficacy.

Expectant management or medical treatment with misoprostol does not increase the risk of infection compared to surgery [17]. Furthermore there is no evidence that nonsurgical approaches will have a negative effect on future fertility, but further larger studies are needed to confirm this [18].

3. Contraindications

- Known allergy to misoprostol.
- Suspected ectopic pregnancy.
- Unstable hemodynamics and shock.
- Signs of pelvic infections and/or sepsis

4. Precautions

- Trophoblastic disease. There is no evidence for support of medical treatment. Surgery is recommended.
- Coagulation disorder/currently taking anticoagulants.

Table 1 RCTs comparing surgical and/or expectant and/or medical management								
Placebo	Expectant	Vaginal misoprostol	Oral or sublingual misoprostol	Methotrexate	Mifepristone	Surgical evacuation	Notes	Trials
•	•	•	•			•		[20] [2,3,21,22] [23–25] [6,7,26]
		•					Comparing different dosages	
		•		•			Methotrexate ± vaginal misoprostol	[13]
•					•			[15]
	•		•		•		Mifepristone + oral misoprostol versus expectant	[16]
	•	•				•	·	[17]

S184 K. Gemzell-Danielsson et al.

5. Regimen

A single dose of 800 μ g vaginal misoprostol is recommended for this indication [4–6]. Alternatively, 600 μ g misoprostol can be administered sublingually [7,11].

Treatment may be repeated twice with a 3 h interval but more studies are needed to evaluate the additional efficacy of repeated doses of misoprostol [7,10,11].

6. Course of treatment

The diagnosis of missed abortion is made by bimanual examination and ultrasound. If judged necessary serum β -HCG could be analyzed as well. A second ultrasound after 1–2 weeks may be needed to confirm the diagnosis.

All women should be given the choice between surgical, expectant or medical management.

There is no clinical reason to withhold misoprostol for the treatment of missed abortion in women with previous cesarean section.

After administration of misoprostol, hospitalization is not necessary as the time to expulsion varies considerably—it may occur in hours or over several weeks. Bleeding may last for more than 14 days with additional days of light bleeding or spotting. Uterine contractions usually start within a few hours following misoprostol. Routine antibiotic coverage is not necessary, but paracetamol or NSAIDs can be used for pain relief [19]. The woman should be advised to contact a provider in case of heavy bleeding or signs of infection.

The effectiveness of the treatment depends on the diagnosis and on the time until follow-up and evaluation.

Follow-up is best performed at 1 to 2 weeks after treatment where complete evacuation of the uterus is confirmed by history, clinical examination of the uterus, and with ultrasound if necessary.

A pregnancy test may also be needed. In the event of failure (or infection or heavy bleeding), a surgical evacuation may be needed. However, if the woman is clinically stable and willing to continue to wait for her uterus to empty, it is acceptable to give her another dose of misoprostol, 800 μ g vaginally or 600 μ g sublingually.

7. Effects and side effects

Prolonged or serious effects and side effects are rare.

7.1. Bleeding

After administration of misoprostol, bleeding typically lasts up to two weeks with additional days of spotting that can last for several weeks.

The woman should be instructed to contact a provider if she soaks more than two extra large sanitary pads an hour for more than two consecutive hours, or has bled continuously for more than two weeks and/or begins to feel dizzy or light-headed.

7.2. Cramping

Cramping usually starts within the first few hours but may begin within minutes after misoprostol administration. The pain may be stronger than that experienced during a regular period. Paracetamol or nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) can be used for pain relief.

7.3. Fever and/or chills

Chills are a common side effect of misoprostol but are transient. Fever is less common and does not necessarily indicate infection. An antipyretic can be used for relief of fever, if needed. If fever or chills persist beyond 24 h after taking misoprostol, the woman may have an infection and should seek medical attention.

7.4. Nausea and vomiting

Nausea and vomiting may occur and will resolve 2 to 6 h after taking misoprostol. An anti-emetic can be used if needed.

7.5. Diarrhea

Diarrhea may also occur following administration of misoprostol but should be resolved within a day.

7.6. Skin rash

Occasionally skin rash occurs after administration of misoprostol.

The taste of the misoprostol tablets can be unpleasant when used sublingually, and some women may feel numbness in the tongue.

The woman should be informed where to seek help in case of heavy bleeding or signs of infection.

8. Additional information

Following vaginal administration of misoprostol undissolved tablets may be found in the vagina at an examination. This does not affect the absorption of misoprostol.

Acknowledgement

This chapter was developed for a misoprostol expert meeting at the Bellagio Study Center in Italy, supported by the Rockefeller Foundation, Ipas, Gynuity Health Projects and the UNDP/UNFPA/WHO/World Bank Special Programme of Research, Development and Research Training in Human Reproduction.

Conflict of interest

The authors do not have any conflict of interest.

References

[1] Neilson J.P., Hickey M., Vazquez J.: Medical treatment for early fetal death (less than 24 weeks). Cochrane Database Syst Rev.; 19;3:CD002253. Review.

- [2] Kovavisarach E, Sathapanachai U. Intravaginal 400 micrograms misoprostol for pregnancy termination in cases of blighted ovum: a randomised controlled trial. Aust N Z J Obstet Gynecol 2002;42:161-3.
- [3] Wood SL, Brain PH. Medical management of missed abortion: a randomized clinical trial. Obstet Gynecol 2002;99:563-6.
- [4] Heard MJ, Stewart GM, Buster JE, Carson SA, Miller HJ. Outpatient management of missed abortion with vaginal misoprostol [abstract]. Obstet Gynecol 2002;99(4 Suppl):20S.
- [5] Kovavisarach E, Jamnansiri C. Intravaginal misoprostol 600 mcg and 800 mcg for the treatment of early pregnancy failure. Int J Gynecol Obstet, Suppl 2005;90:208-12.
- [6] Ngoc NTN, Blum J, Westheimer E, Quan TTV, Winikoff B. Medical treatment of missed abortion using misoprostol. Int J Gynecol Obstet, Suppl 2004;87:138-42.
- [7] Tang OS, Lau WNT, Ng EHY, Lee SWH, Ho PC. A prospective randomized study to compare the use of repeated doses of vaginal with sublingual misoprostol in the management of first trimester silent miscarriage. Hum Reprod 2003;18:176-81.
- [8] Luise C, Jermy K, Collons WP, Bourne TH. Expectant management of incomplete, spontaneous first-trimester miscarriage: outcome according to initial ultrasound criteria and value of follow-up visits. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2002;19(6):580-2.
- [9] Zhang J, Gilles JM, Barnhart K, Creinin MD, Westhoff C, Frederick MM. National Institute of Child Health Human Development (NICHD) Management of Early Pregnancy Failure Trial. A comparison of medical management with misoprostol and surgical management for early pregnancy failure. N Engl J Med 2005;25;353(8):761-9.
- [10] Ngai SW, Chan YM, Tang OS, Ho PC. Vaginal misoprostol as medical treatment for first trimester spontaneous miscarriage. Hum Reprod 2001;16(7):1493-6.
- [11] Tang OS, Ong CY, Tse KY, Ng EH, Lee SW, Ho PC. A randomized trial to compare the use of sublingual misoprostol with or without an additional 1 week course for the management of first trimester silent miscarriage. Hum Reprod 2006;21(1):189-92.
- [12] Weeks A, Alia G. Ultrasonography may have role in assessing spontaneous miscarriage. BMJ 2001;22;323(7314):694.
- [13] Autry A, Jacobson G, Sandhu R, Isbill K. Medical management of non-viable early first trimester pregnancy. Int J Gynecol Obstet, Suppl 1999;67(1):9-13.
- [14] Rivero-Lopez E, Marquez-Maraver F, Duenas-Diez JL, Cabezas-Sanchez B. Deferred miscarriage: effectiveness of intravaginal

- misoprostol versus laminaria alone [Aborto diferido: eficacia del misoprostol intravaginal versus la aplicacion de tallos de laminaria]. Prog Obstet Ginecol 1998;41:579-81.
- [15] Lelaidier C, Baton-Saint-Mleux C, Fernandez H, Bourget P, Frydman R. Mifepristone (RU 486) induces embryo expulsion in first trimester non-developing pregnancies: a prospective randomized trial. Hum Reprod 1993;8:492-5.
- [16] Nielsen S, Hahlin M, Platz-Christensen J. Randomised trial comparing expectant with medical management for first trimester miscarriages. Br J Obstet Gynaecol 1999;106:804-7.
- [17] Trinder J, Brocklehurst P, Porter R, Read M, Vyas S, Smith L. Management of miscarriage: expectant, medical, or surgical? Results of randomised controlled trial (miscarriage treatment (MIST) trial). BMJ 2006;27;332(7552):1235-40.
- [18] Blohm F, Hahlin M, Nielsen S, Milsom I. Fertility after a randomised trial of spontaneous abortion managed by surgical evacuation or expectant treatment. Lancet 1997;5;349(9057):995.
- [19] Fiala C, Swahn ML, Stephansson O, Gemzell-Danielsson K. The effect of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) on medical abortion with mifepristone and misoprostol. Hum Reprod 2005;20(11):3072-7.
- [20] Bagratee JS, Khullar V, Regan L, Moodley J, Kagoro H. A randomized controlled trial comparing medical and expectant management of first trimester miscarriage. Hum Reprod 2004;19:266-71.
- [21] Herabutya Y, O-Prasertsawat P. Misoprostol in the management of missed abortion. Int J Gynecol Obstet, Suppl 1997;56:263-6.
- [22] Lister MS, Shaffer LET, Bell JG, Lutter KQ, Moorma KH. Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of vaginal misoprostol for management of early pregnancy failures. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2005;193:1338-43.
- [23] Demetroulis C, Saridogan E, Kunde D, Naftalin AA. A prospective randomized control trial comparing medical and surgical treatment for early pregnancy failure. Hum Reprod 2001;16:365-9.
- [24] Graziosi GCM, Mol BWJ, Reuwer PJH, Drogtrop A, Bruinse HW. Misoprostol versus curettage in women with early pregnancy failure after initial expectant management: a randomized trial. Hum Reprod 2004;19:1894-9.
- [25] Muffley PE, Stitely ML, Gherman RB. Early intrauterine pregnancy failure: a randomized trial of medical versus surgical treatment. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2002;187:321-6.
- [26] Creinin MD, Moyer R, Guido R. Misoprostol for medical evacuation of early pregnancy failure. Obstet Gynecol 1997;89:768-72.